



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,900	09/22/2006	Han Oh Park	27681U	5781
20529	7590	01/29/2009		
THE NATH LAW GROUP 112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314			EXAMINER STRZELECKA, TERESA E	
			ART UNIT 1637	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/29/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/593,900

Applicant(s)

PARK ET AL.

Examiner

TERESA E. STRZELECKA

Art Unit

1637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/88)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, drawn to a fluorescent probe for real-time detection of amplification of nucleic acid, wherein a fluorescent dye of which intensity of fluorescence is varied when the dye is intercalated into a double-stranded nucleic acid, is connected with an oligonucleotide of which base sequence is complementary with at least a part of said nucleic acid.

Group II, claim(s) 9-21, drawn to a real-time detection method of nucleic acid amplification, comprising the steps of:

- i) producing an aqueous buffer which contains a nucleic acid, a pair of primers for amplification of said nucleic acid, a fluorescent probe wherein a fluorescent dye of which intensity of fluorescence is varied when the dye is intercalated into a double-stranded nucleic acid, is connected with an oligonucleotide of which base sequence is complementary with at least a part of said nucleic acid, four (4) kinds of nucleotides and DNA polymerase;
- ii) denaturing said double-stranded nucleic acid into single strands by heating the aqueous buffer prepared in step i) up to 93 C to 96 C;
- iii) annealing said pair of primers with said single strand by cooling the solution obtained in step ii) up to 50 C to 57 C;
- iv) replicating said single-stranded nucleic acid by heating the solution obtained from step iii) up to 70 C to 74 C;
- v) denaturing said replicated nucleic acid into single strands by heating the solution obtained in step iv) up to 93 C to 96 C;
- vi) annealing said fluorescent probe with said single-stranded nucleic acid by cooling the solution obtained in step v) up to 50 C to 57 C;
- vii) measuring an intensity of the fluorescence emitted from the solution obtained in step vi); and
- viii) repeating more than one steps iv) through vii).

Group III, claim(s) 22-36, drawn to a real-time detection method of the nucleic acid amplification, comprising the steps of:

- i) producing an aqueous buffer which contains a nucleic acid, a pair of primers for amplification of said nucleic acid, a primer for reverse transcription, a fluorescent probe wherein a fluorescent dye of which intensity of fluorescence is varied when the dye is intercalated into a double-stranded nucleic acid, is connected with an oligonucleotide of which base sequence is complementary with at least a part of said nucleic acid, four (4) kinds of nucleotides, DNA polymerase and reverse transcriptase;

ii) replicating a single-stranded cDNA by heating the aqueous buffer prepared in step i) up to 42 C to 50 C;
iii) denaturing a primer for a reverse transcription and a reverse transcriptase from said single-stranded cDNA by heating the solution obtained from said step ii) up to 93 C to 96 C;
iv) annealing the pair of primers with said single-stranded nucleic acid by cooling the solution obtained from said step iii) up to 50 C to 57 C;
v) replicating said single-stranded nucleic acid by heating the solution obtained from step iv) up to 70 C to 74 C;
vi) denaturing said replicated nucleic acid into single strands by heating the solution obtained from step v) up to 93 C to 96 C;
vii) annealing said fluorescent probe with said single-strand nucleic acid by cooling the solution obtained from step vi) up to 50-57 C;
viii) measuring an intensity of the fluorescence emitted from the solution obtained in step vii); and
ix) repeating more than one steps v) through viii).

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Mergny et al. (Nucl. Acids Res., vol. 22, pp. 920-928, 1994) teach a nucleic acid probe which has an intercalating fluorescent dye attached to the probe (page 920, last paragraph; Fig. 1). Therefore the claims lack a contribution over prior art, and thus lack a unifying special technical feature.

3. In addition, Applicants are required to select a single sequence from the sequences with SEQ ID NO: 1 -22 (claimed in claims 8, 20 and 33).

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TERESA E. STRZELECKA whose telephone number is (571)272-0789. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Teresa E Strzelecka
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1637

/Teresa E Strzelecka/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637
January 27, 2009